IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
V)	CR. NO. 2:06cr226-CSC
)	(WO)
ELIJAH C. AARON)	

ORDER

On December 28, 2006, the defendant filed an unopposed motion to continue trial (doc. # 22). Upon consideration of the motion, the court concludes that the motion should be granted.

While the granting of a motion for continuance is left to the sound discretion of the court, the Speedy Trial Act places limits on that discretion. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161. Under the act, the trial of a defendant must commence within 70 days of the date of the indictment or the date of the defendant's first appearance before a judicial officer, whichever is later. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1). See United States v. Vasser, 916 F.2d 624 (11th Cir. 1990). Excluded from this 70 day period is any continuance which a judge grants "on the basis of ... findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Before granting a continuance, the court must consider among other factors "[w]hether the failure to grant [the] continuance ... would be likely to ... result in a miscarriage of justice." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(I). See also United States v. Wentland, 582 F.2d 1022 (5th Cir. 1978). The court also must consider "whether the failure to grant such a continuance ...

would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the government the reasonable time

necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." 18

U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The defendant has requested discovery material from the United States and additional

investigation is necessary to prepare his defense. Requiring a trial under these circumstances

is not beneficial to the parties or the public. For these reasons, the court finds that the ends

of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the

defendant in a speedy trial, and it is

ORDERED that the motion to continue trial (doc. #22) be and is hereby GRANTED.

It is further

ORDERED as follows:

1. That this case be and is hereby continued for jury selection on and trial during the

term beginning on March 12, 2007.

2. That this case be and is hereby set for a pretrial conference on February 20, 2007,

at 1:00 p.m.

Absent extraordinary circumstances, the court will not continue this case again.

Done this 29th day of December, 2006.

/s/Charles S. Coody

CHARLES S. COODY

CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2